



**ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
(ATPAC)**

FINAL MEETING MINUTES

TUESDAY MAY 16, 2017

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 7:05 PM by Chairperson Howard, in Cresta Blanca Room, Robert Livermore Community Center, 4444 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

2. ROLL CALL

Members Present: Chair Steve Howard, Vice Chair Kimberly Schertzer, Mike Ansell, Maria Dawson, and Lara Leininger

Members Absent: Connie Campbell

Staff Present: Associate Planner Debbie Bell, Assistant Planner Andy Ross, Alta Planning + Design Staff Lisa Beyer and Kaley Lyons

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

1. Sean Edwards – Lives on N P Street and measured the street at 55 feet, providing space for parking, bike lanes, and travel lanes if bike lanes were 7.2' or 8'. He does not want any parking removed.
2. Kevin on 4th Street – Shared his experience getting hit by a car while on his bike. He indicated that parking is not needed on 4th Street and he supports removal of travel lanes if needed to fit bike lanes. He also commented that the bike lane on East Ave starts and stops, which should not be allowed to occur.
3. Mike on N P Street – Bought his daughter a car, it was parked on the street and got hit by another car. He supports reducing speed, including adding speed bumps or removing a travel lane on 4th Avenue. Right now P St serves as a cut through for motorists. He also wants more lighting and indicated that the existing crosswalks are difficult to see. He does not want parking removed.

4. N P Street – resident on N P Street commented that the speed limit was changed from 25 to 30mph and it is not monitored. Against putting bike lanes on one side and parking on one side because it would make families cross the street to their homes, and it is not safe to cross the street.
5. East Avenue – resident on East Avenue prefers a bike boulevard or separated bike lanes using bollards. Resident shared that PeopleforBikes.org has information about parking protected bike lanes, the preference would be to have sidewalks, bike lane, and parking as the buffer from travel lanes.
6. Holmes Street – resident does not want parking removed. Removal of parking would make residents walk 400 feet to park and would need to cross the street. At Mocho and Holmes there is also a retirement home with older residents and visitors park on the street. Resident also indicated that speeding is an issue, as drivers come from Hwy84.
7. P Street – resident commented that speeding is a concern, but does not want parking removed.
8. Holmes Street – resident wants safe facilities, protection from speeding traffic and nuisance behavior, but does not want parking removed. Speeding on Holmes is a concern.
9. Murrieta and Holmes are concerns as a bicyclist, some residents ride on P Street. Resident would like the concerns addressed but also allow for bike facilities on Murrieta and Holmes.
10. Holmes St – resident shared that brother was hit by a car and that cars speed on Holmes.
11. Iron Horse Trail – resident indicated that no crossings are shown on the plan, and that crossings should be included, specifically at Murrieta Boulevard.
12. Holmes St – resident is concerned about safety, due in part to the homeless population in this area. Many people use bikes as transportation, but are not experienced on bikes.
13. P Street – parking counts should be done, resident counted and it was highly parked on a Sunday afternoon. It also needs to be restriped to show where the bike lanes are.
14. East Ave – business owner would like to reduce speeds, keep parking, and add bike lanes. Changes are welcome, but should not take from one roadway user to benefit another. Make sure to reach out to adjacent streets if parking removal is considered because spill-over parking would affect them.
15. Hillview Drive/N P Street –Don't take parking.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Howard asked if there were any changes to the minutes from October 27, 2016. Seeing none, Chair Howard asked if there was a motion to approve minutes.

MOTION BY COMMITTEE MEMBER ANSELL, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER SCHERTZER, APPROVING MEETING MINUTES. MOTION CARRIED (5-0)

5. CORRESPONDENCE

None

6. REPORT ON OLD BUSINESS

None

7. NEW BUSINESS

7.01 Discussion regarding the Active Transportation Plan

Debbie Bell started the meeting and asked everyone to introduce themselves. Lisa Beyer and Kaley Lyons from Alta Planning + Design presented a PowerPoint on the Livermore Active Transportation Plan. The presentation reminded the committee members of their role and the current status of the project. She presented recommendations from Working Paper #3– Recommended Projects, Programs, and Policies.

Committee Comments:

- Steve – Crossing I-580 important, as are sidewalk gaps. How will the plan prioritize small and large projects in the same way?
- Mike – Indicated the bike boulevard network is good. Considers Stanford Way and Drake Way good bike boulevard projects. Crossings considerations on the bike boulevard network are important. Crossings at 2nd and 3rd Streets are difficult near the post office at South Livermore Ave.
- Kim – Murrieta intersections are important – thanks for looking into the intersections.
- Maria – Likes the gap closures. She asked if there would be staging or phasing leading up to the large projects, such as separated bike lanes.
- Steve – asked that education focuses on suggesting routes to users rather than trying to catch everyone through facilities. He indicated that interactive websites and Safe Routes to Schools maps are good for this and provide big bang for the buck.
- Lara – commented that bike boulevards in front of schools during drop off and pick up may not be good enough. Alternative access for kids walking and biking would be great.
- Kim – suggested adding information to the Livermore app or making a new one focused on pedestrian and bicycle facilities. It could involve the Chamber of Commerce, school district, and other partners to be used as an encouragement tool.
- Steve – suggested routes on the app would be great (not website)

- Maria – indicated hearing that cyclists don't feel like cars accept them; more signage about sharing the road would be helpful, such as a "All Roads are Shared Roads" campaign
- Lara agreed that bicycle route information should be input into google maps for better coordination and dissemination of information
- There was some concern about potential confusion around using green paint, and that it should be used selectively at high conflict locations. Livermore currently has no green bike facilities.
- Maria – indicated that her programmatic priorities are bike parking, especially downtown, pedestrian-scale lighting, and wayfinding along with maps.

Public Comments after Presentation:

- Gaps are not adequately shown, there are concepts only but no details.
- No specific recommendations for the intersections or 580 crossings
- A wayfinding program should include coordination with Google to get routes and real time information for bikes into Google Maps. This could be used as wayfinding on trails.
- Education/Enforcement – pedestrians and bicyclists are difficult to see at night, many bicyclists are riding without lights. This is a challenge as drivers cannot see pedestrians or cyclists.
- Consider working with local businesses as partners to get the word out about routes to take. Great partnership and marketing for business and community.

8. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Howard asked if there was a motion to adjourn.

MOTION BY COMMITTEE MEMBER ANSELL, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER DAWSON, TO ADJOURN. MOTION CARRIED (5-0)

Meeting was adjourned at 8:58pm.
